

Board Action:

- a) Second reading of Board's Ends Statements was held. Motion to adopt the Ends Statements as written made by J. Lallensack, seconded by T. Bretl. **Motion carried unanimously.**
- b) W. Harbron reviewed the Supervision and Evaluation Model. Motion to approve the Model made by W. Clarke, seconded by T. Hoffmann. **Motion carried unanimously.**
- c) W. Clarke led discussion on the K-8 science curriculum. The Curriculum Committee has approved the curriculum. Motion to approve the K-8 science curriculum made by T. Bretl, seconded by J. Lallensack. Discussion followed. **Motion carried unanimously.**
- d) W. Clarke led discussion on instructional materials. Motion to approve the 5th-6th grade Science curriculum textbooks and support materials made by T. Bretl, seconded by T. Hoffmann. **Motion carried unanimously.**
- f) A series of meetings was held over the summer between the two districts' committees. W. Harbron stated the School District of Random Lake would not realize significant savings by combining services with Northern Ozaukee. Exploring the option of consolidation may be taken into consideration, should the Board give the committee that charge. The committees would study the possibility of consolidation and bring their findings to their respective board, which, in turn, would make a determination of whether to conduct further study. Each board could make its own decision or could ask that it be taken to referendum. If the boards were to make the decision, the community could then petition the boards and ask for a referendum. Discussion ensued, including a consolidation process timeline. Northern Ozaukee has not considered consolidation with districts other than Random Lake. Action is required to change the charge of the NOSD/Random Lake Shared Services Committee to study feasibility of consolidation based upon criteria established, or to dissolve the committee. Motion for the Board to direct the committee to investigate, review, and report looking at combining districts made by T. Bretl, seconded by W. Clarke. Discussion ensued. W. Clarke stated the district owes it to the taxpayers to look into it as something that could benefit this area and alleviate some of the financial burdens we're suffering under. **Motion carried with one opposed.**
- g) W. Harbron reviewed the retirement settlement for Gerald Malueg, which is similar to that of Mary Stehling, but of shorter duration. A third settlement is still pending. All three of the retirees get the family rate and have all been dropped from WEA Trust insurance. Motion for the agreement as proposed be approved by the Board made by T. Bretl, seconded by C. Conine. Roll call vote: J. Lallensack, no; T. Bretl, yes; P. Krause, no; F. Kleckner, yes; T. Hoffmann, yes; W. Clarke, yes; K. Thistle, yes; C. Conine, no. **Motion carried; 5 yes, 3 no.**
- * e) K. Thistle explained that nothing in the land exchange agreement is changed; we must deal with what we are going to do with storm water drainage and potential cost to the district. Lengthy discussion and exchange with Nancy Washburn of MasterCraft ensued. The DNR storm water specialist is on vacation; K. Thistle would like to confer with her prior to a decision being made. This may pose a delay, but not affect progress. The district does not want to incur any additional cost in managing the flow of water post-development. T. Bretl asked whether it would be agreeable to amend the agreement to include that it is MasterCraft's financial responsibility if the water management from the run-off exceeds the (N. Washburn interjection) causes the school additional expense in order to contain it. Motion that we approve the agreement with the additional language that MasterCraft will bear the financial responsibility of storm water run-off if any additional costs are incurred by the district made by T. Bretl, seconded by W. Clarke. P. Krause wanted to know exactly how the motion is stated. Roll call vote: J. Lallensack, yes; T. Bretl, yes; P. Krause, yes; F. Kleckner, yes, T. Hoffmann, yes; W. Clarke, yes; K. Thistle, no; C. Conine, yes. **Motion carried; 7 yes, 1 no.**

Agenda Items: Further assessment discussion will be included on the September 11 agenda.

Meeting

Assessment: Board members were asked to complete the meeting assessment form. Responses will be summarized in the next *Board Update*.

Adjournment: Motion to adjourn regular meeting made by K. Thistle, seconded by P. Krause. Meeting adjourned at 10:16 p.m.

Next Meeting: Monday, September 11, 2006, 7 p.m., OMS/OHS Library.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Walter Clarke
Board Clerk

WC:vid